

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**1. Project Number** (Assigned by federal unit): 118-407      **AMOUNT REQUESTED**      **\$ 34,500**

**2. Project Name:**      NOXIOUS WEED REMOVAL & MANAGEMENT

**3. County:**      DOUGLAS

**4. Project Sponsor:**      David Eichamer: Special Forest Products / Noxious Weeds

**5. Date:**      **July 3, 2003**

**6. Sponsors Phone**      # 541-618-2291

**7. Sponsor-s E-mail:**      deichame@or.blm.gov

**8. Project Location** (attach project area map)

a. 4<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

c. Legal Location:

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

d. BLM District      MEDFORD

e. BLM Resource Area      GLENDALE

f. National Forest \_\_\_\_\_

g. Forest Service District \_\_\_\_\_

h. State / Private / other lands involved?      X Yes      No

( Coordinated work with county, city, and private landowners as well as local authorities will be sought for removal of noxious weeds that affect all landowners )

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:**

Invasive exotic plants and root diseases are degrading wild land ecosystem health at a rapid and ever-increasing rate. They know no boundaries and will spread across all ownerships degrading native habitat. Without major intervention and plant management efforts, these aggressive plants will continue marching through and degrading lands we value so highly. Such plants also have high economic consequences as they replace native plants of economic importance and may do great damage to waterways or riparian habitats.

IPM (Integrated Pest Management) is a combination of methods to combat weeds and root diseases is by using techniques such as prevention, education, and treatment.

The BLM has adopted IPM as its method to combat invasive species. Specifications for treatments are written in compliance with the Medford District Programmatic Environmental Analysis (EA), 1998 and Noxious Weed Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Record of Decision, 1986.

**We received Title II funds for similar work during FY 02 and the results were significant and valuable. ( a synopsis is available of work to date ).**

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Through a four- year indefinite- delivery, indefinite- quantity contract for the IPM (Integrated Pest Management) services specified.

Services include: Physical cutting and removal ;Chemical spraying as allowed for under the BLM - Medford District Record of Decision (ROD) and Resource Management Plan (RMP), June 1995.;

Other treatment outside of the IDIQ contract include : Bio-Control, using introduced insects species proven specific for weed control; Steam spray application treatment from roadsides.

The proposed project work shall be done in accordance with and precautions taken as outlined in the Medford District Record of Decision (ROD) and Resource Management Plan (RMP), June 1995. This RMP incorporates the earlier ROD for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl and the Standards and Guidelines for Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forest Related Species within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl. The specifications are written in compliance with the Medford District Programmatic Environmental Analysis (EA), 1998; Noxious Weed Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Record of Decision, 1986; Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances regarding transportation, mixing , application and disposal of herbicides.

**11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?**

☒ Yes    ☐ No    If yes, then describe.

There are adjacent state, county, and private lands with similar stocking. The BLM will seek out a coordinated effort with other landowners to utilize noxious weed removal techniques on these lands for the common good.

**12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?** [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☒ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

**13. Project Type** (*check one*) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Road</b> Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]                          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]                        |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____                  |  |

**14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes** [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

(Use workload measures used for the budget process)

- |                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| a. Total Acres:    100            | b. Total Miles:        100_____   |
| c. No. Structures: _____          | d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____ |
| e. No. Of Laborer Days: 100 _____ |   |
| f. Other (specify): _____         |   |
| g. <b>Program Element:</b> _____  |   |

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date** [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: \_\_\_\_\_

TWO YEAR ;      Fall 2005

**16. Target Species (plants/wildlife etc.) Benefited:** ALL NATIVE PLANT SPECIES

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

The BLM also will seek out a more intimate partnership with the Middle Rogue Watershed Council and the South Umpqua Watershed Council. The BLM will seek out cooperative efforts with Local County road improvement agencies who will be doing similar work to pool resources for greater results.

The habitat values associated with this project are of high concern to BLM and these groups and with the use of this funding the BLM will create a stronger infrastructure and shared projects that will benefit all parties.

**18. How is this project in the best public interest?** [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**

It will provide jobs to Oregon workers and probably to local persons.

It will forge stronger relationships with local agencies to improve native habitat.

It will improve the long term health of the land adjacent to local landowners.

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?**

It will accelerate the development and growth of native habitats.

It will reduce infestation of non-native species that supplant economically important native plants .

It will improve management on BLM lands by providing better and more vigorous habitat for the growth of native species.

It will carry out many initiatives of the Northwest Forest Plan.

**20. Status of Project Planning**

- |   |       |                             |   |
|---|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| a. NEPA Complete:                             | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |   |
| b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____ |       |                             |   |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:     | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:    | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete:                  | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:                | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:                | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed:               | X Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |

\* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment**

- ☒ Contract ☐ Federal Workforce  
☒ County Workforce ☒ Volunteers  
☐ **Other** (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?** (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

**23. Anticipated Project Costs** [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested:  
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, then display by fiscal year  
c. FY02 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ f. FY05 Request: \$ 34500  
d. FY03 Request: \$ 32000 g. FY06 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
e. FY04 Request: \$ 34500

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$4,000			\$4,000
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	\$1,000			\$1,000
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation	\$2,000			\$2,000
29. Contract Administration	\$3,000			\$3,000
30. Contract Cost		\$30,000		\$30,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$3,000			\$3,000
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$13,000	\$30,000		\$43,000
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$4,500		\$4,500
37. Total Cost Estimate	<b>\$13,000</b>	<b>\$34,500</b>		<b>\$48,500</b>

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

In the Future:

Umpqua Watershed Councils; County agencies, Youth Conservation Corps, County inmate crews.

Other private grants

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))** What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

**1.How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

BLM Contract administrators and Noxious Weed Managers will establish tracking mechanisms that catalog effects of the treatments and the project as a whole. Financial accounting and cooperative efforts will also be shown and available for review upon completion.

**2.What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

BLM Contract administrators and Noxious Weed Managers will establish tracking mechanisms that catalog effects of the treatments and the project as a whole. Financial accounting and cooperative efforts will also be shown and available for review upon completion.

**Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

**Amount:** \$3,000